Original Article

Particulate matter exposure and non-cancerous inhalation health risk assessment of major dumpsites of Owerri metropolis, Nigeria

Alexander Iheanyichukwu Opara¹ , Casmir Zanders Akaolisa¹, Chigozie Osita Akakuru¹ , Amarachi Udoka Nkwoada^{2*} , Francis Chizoruo Ibe³ , Andrew Wirnkor Verla³ , Ikechukwu Chigozie Chukwuemeka¹

¹Department of Geology, Federal University of Technology, PMB 1526 Owerri, Nigeria

²Department of Chemistry, Federal University of Technology, PMB 1526 Owerri, Nigeria

³Department of Chemistry, Imo State University, PMB 2000 Owerri, Nigeria

*Correspondence: amarachi.nkwoada@futo.edu.ng

Received: July 28, 2021 Accepted: October 14, 2021

Abstract

Numerous particulates are released from the dumpsites in Owerri metropolis and later dispersed to other areas in the environment where they cause adverse health challenges to the inhabitants. To analyze the PM concentrations, field measurements were carried out at seven major dumpsites in Owerri Metropolis. Estimates of the possible health risks as the result of exposure to airborne particulate matter (PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, etc.) were performed using the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) human health risk assessment framework. A scenario assessment approach in which normal exposure and worst-case scenario were adopted for acute and chronic exposure periods for infants, children, and adults were carried out. The concentrations of PM_{2.5} which ranged from 122.30-501.76 µg/m³ at the dumpsites exceeded the WHO 24 hr annual mean maximum exposure limit. The Nigerian National Ambient Air Quality Standard allowable limit for PM₁₀ was exceeded by most of the dumpsites. Hazard quotient > 1 was exceeded for PM_{2.5} by nearly all dumpsites and is therefore likely to cause health challenges to people in the vicinity of the dumpsites. The results showed that under monthly conditions, both PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ concentration levels at the dumpsites have the potential to cause adverse health effects for infants, children, and adults on acute or chronic bases. Actions should be taken to regulate such PM exposure and to raise public awareness for the inhabitants of the affected areas. In conclusion, regular monitoring is therefore recommended to decrease the ambient particulate matter (PM) concentrations in the study area.

Keywords: Risk assessment, Particulate matter, Dumpsite, Fine particle, Coarse particle, Particle distribution

Introduction

Air contaminants are generated in the dumpsites areas due to numerous reasons like open burning, uncaptured landfill gases, gases from the decomposition of natural matter, dust from poorly covered landfills, etc. [1]. The common dumpsite contaminants include particulate matter (PM), and other gaseous contaminants such as NO_x, CO_x, and PAH [1-3]. Whilst the particulate contaminants are usually released into the surroundings, they most often cause severe effects on the environment with associated adverse human health consequences. Specifically, PM has been a dominant component in most historical air pollution episodes, which include the London Smog of the Fifties, the Donora Valley (Pennsylvania), and Meuse Valley (Belgium) episodes [4,5]. Most particulate matter (especially fine particulates) have elusive sizes in the atmosphere. Typically, in the lower atmospheres, trimodal size distributions are generally known, with the nucleation mode having particulates less than < 0.1 µm diameter, accumulation mode having diameter of 0.1-2 µm, while coarse particle sizes have diameters greater than 2µm [3,4]. This characteristic property of PMs, therefore, leads to the abundance of particles of the nucleation mode while a larger percentage of particulates by mass belongs to the coarse mode [6].

The gradual and consistent environmental pollution, the poor ambient air quality in the cities as a result of industrialization and urbanization in addition to the dynamic processes of atmospheric radiation, transmission, dispersion, emission, and transformation influences the distribution and negative health impact of particulates [7]. The health effects resulting from PM pollution depend on the absorbed dose, the type or size of the absorbed PM, the individual susceptibility, and the aggravated doses of the PM's in the urban atmosphere owing to tens (µg m⁻³) to hundreds of several PM loadings [7]. Similarly, unsustainable anthropogenic activities like open dumpsites have contributed to the increased levels of PM in urban areas in most developing countries, where more than 50% of the world's population are living and are exposed to ambient atmospheric PM pollution [8].

The average human being breathes about 15 m³ of air every day including fine PM pollutants which causes serious

health problems such as asthma, nose and throat irritation, irritation of the lungs, risk of cardiac arrest, bronchitis, pneumonia, allergies, adverse neurological conditions, reproductive defects, cancer, and even death [9]. Therefore, environmental, and human health risk assessments associated with PM pollution using the various risk classification schemes of PM are necessary for municipal open dumpsites to determine and monitor their exposure levels. In addition, high PM levels is a major concern to the vulnerable population, such as people living with heart and lung diseases, the elderly, children, pregnant females, and most especially people in the developing world where unsustainable open dumpsites are common and high levels of PM pollution or PM episodes are known occurrences [10,11].

The ecological and human risk assessment techniques are commonly employed to evaluate the effects of PMs on the human body. The human health risk assessment is a technique in which the extent of exposure to PM contaminants together with the life cycle impact assessment (LCIA) method is usually analyzed. [12]. It involves the use of occupant scenario which is based on the contaminant concentration levels, and usually further assesses the risk for a given amount of time to human health [12]. Several researchers [13,17] have conducted health risk assessment in some major dumpsites within Nigeria to evaluate the levels of criteria pollutants, suspended particulate matter, and fine particulate matter but there is no reported study on the PM emissions from dumpsites in Owerri metropolis. In addition, there is a paucity of data on particulate matter exposure and non-cancerous inhalation health risk assessment of dumpsites in the study area. Generally, two important types of exposure needed to assess the health risk posed by PM include lifetime and short-time exposure analysis [12,15]. The short time exposure data provides information for the prediction of long-time exposure and resident health risk are similarly estimated using the same procedure. The objective of this study, therefore, is to determine the concentrations of PM produced by dumpsites in Owerri metropolis and to quantify the existing health impact using pollution and cancer risk assessment techniques. To achieve this, concentration levels of PM from the dumpsites were measured using the EXTECH Instrument Video Particle Counter with in-built camera (Model VPC 3000, USA), with six channels which give the PM concentrations for PM 0.3, PM0.5, PM1.0, PM2.5, PM5.0 & PM10.0.

Materials and Methods

Site description

Imo State, Nigeria which is given by the geographical coordinates defined by longitudes 6° 40' 02"- 7° 21' 05"E and latitudes 5°10' 05"- 5° 56' 12"N is predominantly a lowland located to the east of the Niger in southeastern Nigeria and covers a total landmass of approximately 5100 km² as shown in Figure 1. The ambient atmospheric temperature which varies between 27-30° rarely exceeds the mean tropical climatic conditions, while the wind direction is predominantly northeast (2.5-4.3 MPH), thus driving a humid climatic region. The climate of Owerri, the capital city of Imo state falls within the rain forest belt of Nigeria with two distinct seasons mainly the rainy and dry seasons. High amounts of rainfall which varies between 1600-2900 mm and high relative humidity which ranges between 75-90% are typical weather conditions of Owerri metropolis and environs. The dominant sources of particulate matter in this study area include wood-burning, vehicular emissions, particles generated from cooking using fossil fuels, firewood, industrial emissions, and unsustainable open waste dumpsites. Furthermore, it is a common occurrence to observe road users and residents indiscriminately dump their domestic and industrial wastes by the roadsides. Observations thus showed that 75-90% of the refuse dumped by several motorists in the area were not bagged, another 95% were uncovered, and only 10-15% of waste disposed off in the dumpsites are carefully bagged at the 9 different sampling locations. Specific sampling sites and their geo-referenced locations are shown in Table 1. Sampling was carried out during the dry season (January) of 2019 in Owerri metropolis for about 8 hours duration for two-time segments of Morning (7:00 am-11:00 am) and Evening (2:00 pm-6:00 pm). The sampling procedure was repeated in August for the wet season. During the dry season of 2019, less rain was observed as compared to other months of the season with prevailing higher day temperatures [18].

Sample collection and measurement conditions

The EXTECH Video Particulate Counter is a multi-parameter digital anemometer (Model no: VPC300) that was used for measuring the particulate matter concentrations across the seven (7) different locations. The instrument was tested, calibrated, and certified by FLIR commercial systems Inc., Nashua, the USA on 02/11/2018. The instrument has six standard particle counter channels which measures the 0.3, 0.5, 1.0, 2.5, 5.0 and 10 µm. The equipment mode usually shows the differential, cumulative, and concentration modes. Quality control was achieved by calibrating using the DM-001 procedure earlier described by the National Institute of Standards [11,15]. After the device was powered on, the current date, time, and units were selected. The point of zero-point was then set in the device by connecting the zero-count filter and selecting 0.5 µm channel to run to the end. Afterward, the iso-kinetic probe was connected and the duration, start delay, cycle, interval (30 s, 10 s, 3 cycles, 60 s) measurements were selected and validated for the 6-channels. This gas monitor measures PM10, PM5.0, PM2.5, PM1.0, PM0.5, and PM0.3 levels. The zero-count filter probe was air-dried at 80 °C for 20 mins, cooled in a desiccator, and weighed to the nearest milligram (5.01-5.05 mg) to convert data to microgram per meter cube. The

concentrations for each gas determined was converted to ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) by taking the mass of the PM counter and divided by the volume of air with the volume of air determined as follows:

$$\text{Volume of air (m}^3\text{)} = \text{flow rate} \times \text{time of sampling} \quad (1)$$

$$V = q \times t \quad (2)$$

$$\text{Conc of PM } \left(\frac{\mu\text{g}}{\text{m}^3} \right) = \frac{1000 \mu\text{g} \times W_n (\text{mg})}{V \text{m}^3} \quad (3)$$

where q = flow rate in liters per minutes

T = time of sampling in minutes

Wn = mass change of the filter

V(m^3) = volume of air sample

Figure 1. Google map of the study area showing: (a) Nigeria; (b) Imo State; (c) Seven dumpsite locations in Owerri.

Table 1. Geo-referenced coordinates and locations for 7 study sites in Owerri metropolis.

S/N	Dumpsite locations	Code	Longitude	Latitude	Elevation
1	Nekede/Naze FUTO road	NNR	007° 01' 381"E	05° 26 303"N	63
2	Old Aba Road	OAM	007° 02' 506"E	05° 28 673"N	77
3	Umuawuka Mbaoma Emii	UMR	007° 06' 042"E	05° 27 400"N	109
4	Ezeogba Emekuku	EER	007° 01' 381"E	05° 26 303"N	63
5	Trans-Egbu Road	TER	007° 02' 506"E	05° 28 673"N	77
6	Owerri-Onitsha Road	OOR	007° 06' 042"E	05° 27 400"N	109
7	Afor Egbu Owerri	AER	007° 04' 292"E	05° 28 257"N	89

NNR: Nekede/Naze road; O: Old/Aba road; UMR: Umuawuka/Mbaoma road; EER: Ezeogba/Emekuku road; TER: Trans/Egbu road; OOR: Owerri/Onitsha road; AER: Afor/Egbu road.

Data analysis

The particulate matter data were obtained from the sampling procedure described above. The arithmetic means, median, standard deviation, and coefficient of covariance were calculated from the data using Microsoft Excel 2010 and origin 64-bit 9.0 software. GIS/GPS and MATLAB 7.9 software were used in generating the general finite line model interpretations and spatial contouring of the data. The influence of meteorological parameters (wind speed, wind chill, temperature, relative humidity, dew point, and wind direction) on PM (0.3, 0.5, 1.0, 2.5, 5.0, 10.0) measurements were also investigated. The data acquired with GPS were fed into the Arc GIS 9.3 software version, while the plot of coordinates

against the seven locations in the Owerri metropolis was determined using MATLAB 7.9 version software [19]. Finally, the data were used to carry out a human health risk assessment.

Human Health Risk Assessment (HHRA)

The HHRA is a procedure that involves the inclusion of possible negative effects arising from human exposure to toxic substances. The HHRA that was used in this study comprises of four components: Hazard Identification (HI), Dose-Response Assessment (DRA), Exposure Assessment (EA), and Risk Characterization (RC).

The hazard identification of respiratory suspended particulate matter (PM 2.5) and suspended particulate matter (PM10) as harmful and potential health risks was outlined and discussed in key existing pieces of literature [20,21]. The DRA involves estimating the number of pollutants taken into the body as a function of the concentration and length of exposure. DRA was performed in this study and was also compared with the Nigerian National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) which is the benchmark for our data in Nigeria.

The exposure-response assessment (DRA) was performed by determining the PM concentration with respect to time activity data es expressed in the equation below.

$$E = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m C_j \cdot t_j}{\sum_{j=1}^m t_j} \quad (4)$$

The C_j is known as the particulate matter concentration determined in the dumpsite (j) and t_j is the total spent in the dumpsite (j). Inhaled rate (dose) (IR) was taken from Table 2 by multiplying the exposure concentration in each dumpsite by IR as described in equation 5. The PM contribution from the dumpsites to the daily exposure and daily inhaled dose was calculated using equations 6 and 7.

$$D = \sum_{j=1}^m C_j \cdot t_j \cdot IR_j \quad (5)$$

$$\text{Daily exposure contribution (\%)} = \frac{C_j \times t_j}{\sum_{j=1}^m C_j \times t_j \times IR_j} \quad (6)$$

$$\text{Daily inhaled dose contribution (\%)} = \frac{C_j \times t_j \times IR_j}{\sum_{j=1}^m C_j \times t_j \times IR_j} \quad (7)$$

EA is a tool that identifies the population exposed to the hazard, magnitude, and exposure duration to the hazard. The current study assumed that the inhalation route is the major route of exposure to the measured pollutants at the dumpsites. Furthermore, the present study utilized a scenario assessment method for normal average exposure (24-hr-immediate) and worst-case scenario (chronic-annual) exposure periods, as well as the normal acute 1 hr. exposure periods. These referenced doses and their calculations are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. US Environmental Protection Agency standards for human health risk assessment parameters.

Exposure frequency, exposure duration, and averaging time for different exposure groups					
Exposed group	EF (days/year)		DE (year)	AT (days)	
Infant (0-1 year)	350		1	365 (1X365)	
Child (6-12 years)	350		12	4380 (12x365)	
Adult (19-75 years)	350		52	18,980 (52X365)	
Exposure time (hours) for normal and worst-case scenarios for acute, intermediate, and chronic exposures					
Adapted from [19,20]					
	Intermediate (Exposure hours)			Chronic (Exposure hours)	
Exposed group	Acute	Normal	Worst case	Normal	Worst case
Infant (0-1 year)	1	1	24	14.6 (350/24)X1	350 (1 X 350)
Child (6-12 years)	1	6	24	1050.0 (4200/24)X6)	4200 (12 X 350)
Adult (19-75 years)	1	3	24	1312.5 (10500/24)X3	10500 (30 X 350)
Average inhalation rates and body weights of the exposed population					
Adapted from [20,21]					
	Mean inhalation rate (m³/hour)				
Exposed group	Acute exposure		Chronic exposure		Mean body weight
Infant (0-1 year)	0.3		6.8		11.3
Child (6-12 years)	1.2		13.5		45.3
Adult (19-75 years)	1.2		13.3		71.8
Adapted from [19-21]					
Reference exposure levels for particulate matter pollutants					
Pollutant	I hour (µg/m³)	8 hours (µg/m³)	24 hours (µg/m³)	Annual mean (µg/m³)	
PM2.5			25	10	
PM10			50	20	
Adapted from			[20,21]		

The absence of reference exposure levels for PM_{5.0}, PM_{1.0}, PM_{0.5}, and PM_{0.3} means that relevant exposure thresholds do not yet have toxicological evidence of potential detrimental effects on human health.

For non-carcinogenic pollutants exposure, the acute exposure rate equation is used as shown below [19,21].

$$AHD = C \times IR / BW \quad (8)$$

Where AHD is the average hourly dose for inhalation (µg/kg/hour), C is the concentration of the chemical (µg/m³), IR is the inhalation rate (m³/hour), and BW is the body weight (kg).

For exposure to non-carcinogenic pollutants, the chronic exposure rate is given in equation 9 below [19,21].

$$ADD = (C \times IR \times ED) / (BW \times AT) \quad (9)$$

Where ADD is the average daily dose of the chemical of interest (µg/kg/day), C is taken as the amount of the chemical in ambient air (µg/m³), IR is the inhalation rate (m³/day), ED is known as the exposure duration (days), BW is same as the bodyweight of the exposure group (kg) and AT is the averaging time (days) [19,21].

Exposure duration ED was calculated as:

$$ED = ET \times EF \times DE \quad (10)$$

Also, the difference is that exposure duration (ED) is obtained when duration exposure (DE) in years is converted to days by multiplying DE with exposure frequency (EF) and exposure time (ET)

Where ET is the recorded exposure time (hour/day), EF is the exposure frequency (days/year), and DE is the duration of exposure (year). The standards are shown in Table 2 above. The EF, DE, and the AT values of the exposure duration are also shown in Table 2. Furthermore, it shows the estimated values of ET for each population group, the IR and BW values.

The hazard quotient (HQ) shows the probability of adverse health effects occurring among healthy and sensitive groups of people. HQ is given as where REL is the dose at which significant adverse health effects occur in exposed groups compared with the unexposed group. Reference exposure level (REL) was taken from the office of the environmental health hazard assessment (OEHHA) and the RELs used are presented in Table 2. The hazard quotient (HQ) is calculated as shown in equations 11 and 12:

$$HQ = ADD/REL \quad (\text{chronic exposure}) \quad (11)$$

$$HQ = AHD/REL \quad (\text{acute exposure}) \quad (12)$$

An HQ of 1.0 is considered to be the benchmark of safety. HQ that is < 1.0 indicates a negligible risk; that is, the pollutant under scrutiny is not likely to induce adverse health effects, even to a sensitive individual. HQ > 1.0 indicates that there may be some risks to sensitive individuals as a result of exposure [12,20,21,24].

Results and Discussion

Particulate Matter Concentrations

From Table 3 it was observed that children spent more time at the dumpsites than adults. During workdays, children spent 24.13% of their time at the EER dumpsite and followed by OOR while on weekends they spent more time at EER (22.72) and TER (25.00). The reason is that most of the children were at home 14:00 and 17:00 and spent ample time during evenings in the vicinity of the dumpsites. By the weekend, the time increased for almost all dumpsites except OAM owing to an increase in leisure playtime. The average time spent by adults was smaller compared to the time the children spent in the vicinity of the dumpsites.

Table 3. Time spent by children and adults within the vicinity of the dumpsite.

	CHILDREN				ADULT			
	Weekdays		Weekends		Weekdays		Weekend	
	Time (min)	%	Time (min)	%	Time (min)	%	Time (min)	%
NNR	4	13.79	5	11.4	2	14.29	1	7.70
OAM	3	10.35	2	4.54	2	14.29	1	7.70
UMR	3	10.35	3	6.82	3	21.43	2	15.38
EER	7	24.13	10	22.72	1	7.14	3	23.08
TER	4	13.79	11	25.00	1	7.14	2	15.38
OOR	5	17.24	6	13.63	3	21.42	2	15.38
AER	3	10.35	7	15.91	2	14.29	2	15.38

The use of a private car was commonly used by adults to bin their wastes. In the mornings, a stop by is made and the waste is binned. During weekends they take a little more time to carefully dispose of their wastes. Hence, children and adults' exposure to particulate matter pollutants occurred at different levels at the dumpsites. The graphical plot of the time spent by the various groups close to the dumpsites is shown by the box and whiskers plot (Figure 2). Time spent by children at the dumpsites increased during weekends, and also a greater number of adults spent an average of 2 mins at the dumpsites during weekends. During workdays, it also showed that the average time spent by children was 4 mins while adults spent about 2 mins. Hence children are at greater risk of inhalation of particulate matter than adults.

Figure 2. Box and whiskers plot of the time spent by residents at dumpsites.

Based on the data obtained from the sampling locations, the different concentrations of PM were determined according to the sizes and diameter of the particulate matter. From Figure 3, observations show that PM_{0.3} had the highest concentration for the two seasons of January and August. The maximum was at NNR dumpsite in January with concentrations of about 1300 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ and the lowest achieved was at EER dumpsite in August with a concentration of 450 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ which exceeded the highest measured concentrations of PM_{5.0}, PM_{1.0}, and PM_{0.5}. The levels of PM_{5.0} were below 100 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ in January in all dumpsites but varied in August owing to weather conditions [25]. Similarly, the seasonal variation resulted in higher levels of PM_{0.5} at TER, OOR, and AER dumpsites in August and lower concentration levels around NNR, OAM, and UMR dumpsites. A sharp decrease was observed for PM_{0.3} at EER in August from 1200 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ to 450 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ while the PM_{1.0} levels at EER increased significantly. Hence it believed that the background concentration values change according to the local scenario (coarse and fine) of atmospheric PM because ambient atmospheric PM concentrations are subject to local weather conditions [26,27].

Figure 3. PM concentration levels across the seven dumpsites in the metropolis: (a) Month of January; (b) Month of August.

The concentrations of PM_{2.5} ranged from 122.30–501.76 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ at OAM and NNR dumpsites in August. Furthermore, maximum PM_{2.5} Concentrations was 1662.98 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ at OOR while the lowest was at OAM dumpsite with a concentration of 119.17 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. This thus revealed that the PM concentration levels at the dumpsites exceeded the WHO 24hr annual mean maximum exposure limit. On the other hand, PM₁₀ concentration levels in January and August did not exceed the 24hr WHO exposure limits [28]. Both the NNR, TER, AER dumpsites in January and August exceeded the WHO annual mean exposure limit and hence pose a health risk to the residents and occupants within the area. The allowable limit for PM_{2.5} according to Nigerian (NAAQS) is 35 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ and only NNR exceeded this exposure limit. The Nigerian (NAAQS) allowable limit for PM₁₀ is 150 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ and when compared to the data from this study showed that both OAM and UMR dumpsites in the study months did not exceed the Nigerian allowable limit. Hence, a further health risk assessment was performed for inclusion of possible negative effects arising from human exposure to toxic substances [28,30].

The HQ from the health risk characterization from the exposure to PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} is provided thus indicating the green baseline of HQ = 1 as shown in Figure 4. The results showed that under normal conditions, PM₁₀ has the least hazardous potential when considered for infants, children, nor adults on acute or chronic bases [30,31]. In January, during the normal and worst-case scenario, neither infants, children, and adults will be affected by PM_{2.5}. On the contrary, the month of August recorded all PM_{2.5} sampling points to have exceeded HQ > 1 except at OAM and UMR. Hence the residents are at risk of developing health risk related problems from both chronic and acute exposure at these locations. Again, the month of August observed all exposure levels to have HQ < 1 and thus reveal results that are not likely to induce the expected adverse effect. However, in January, infants may likely experience health effects after chronic exposure to PM₁₀ [33,34].

Figure 4. Calculated hazard quotient (HQ) of measured particulate matter: (a) PM_{2.5}; (b) PM_{2.5} in August; (c) PM₁₀ in January; (d) PM₁₀ in August.

Metrological Data

Table 4 presents the measured metrological data in tabular form. It depicts high wind speed activity and wind chill at TER station. The highest temperature measurements were at NNR and EER while the relative humidity was low when compared to Figure 5. In addition, the humid index and dew point were revealed to be highest in EER. Hence metrological parameters were most active at Ezeogba Emekuku Road (EER) with a remarkable low elevation level comparable to only NNR location.

Figure 4 refers to the metrological parameters determined from the study locations. Wind speed ranged from 2.3-4.3 (MPH) while the wind chill varied from 28.5 to 29.5 °C [35]. Hence, the wind speed was observed not to have adversely influenced the movement of particulate matter. The temperature ranges from 28-29 °C within the same range as the wind chill which agrees with the conditions of the sites described as the warm tropical region. The relative humidity showed higher activity > 94.5%, while the humid index ranges from 31-38 °C and is suggested to be the key role player during the dry season. This finding agrees with previous outcomes that July-September often presents the highest rainfall in Nigeria [36,37]. Furthermore, relative humidity is an indicator or precursor to the occurrence of precipitation [38]. Moreover, the high dew point values (26.6-27.6 °C) showed that there was an ongoing high rainfall activity with consequent deposition of particulate matter on the leaves and branches of plants and surrounding soil. This deposition is recurrent because, there is a high proportion of cloudy days, in August relative to low solar energy and low temperature during the same wet season [39].

Table 4. Time spent by children and adults at the dumpsite.

S/N	Location	Wind speed	Wind chill	Temperature	Relative humidity	Humid index	Dew point	Wind direction
1	NNR	2.4	28.5	29	81.3	37.7	27.6	250.20 SW
2	OAM	4.3	29.5	28.7	71.5	36.9	26.6	118.00 NW
3	UMR	2.5	28.5	28.5	79.9	31.6	26.7	237.00 NW
4	EER	3.6	28.5	29	81.6	37.7	27.6	125.00 NS
5	TER	4.3	29.5	28.7	81.5	36.9	26.6	245.00NW
6	OOR	2.5	28.5	28.5	84.9	31.6	26.7	250.20 SW
7	AER	2.5	28.5	28.5	82.9	31.6	26.7	118.00 NW

Figure 5. Spatial plots of the six metrological parameters determined in Owerri metropolis in August during rains.

Conclusions

PM_{2.5} exceeded the WHO 24hr annual mean maximum exposure limit. On the other hand, PM₁₀ concentration levels in January and August did not exceed the 24hr WHO exposure limits. The acute and chronic PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ concentrations recorded in January and August did not pose any health risk challenges. However, the PM_{2.5} HQ levels in August are a major concern while infants are likely to experience health challenges due to exposure to PM₁₀ in January. Therefore, the data thus revealed that PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ levels may cause health-related challenges in Owerri Metropolis. Future campaigns should be encouraged, and findings could guide the development of improved protocols for waste disposal in Owerri metropolis and environs.

Acknowledgement

Special thanks go to the Management of the Federal University of Technology, Owerri for the support given in the course of this research work. This work was sponsored by the TETFUND IBR 2021 of the Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Nigeria

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that no conflict of interest exists.

CRedit author statement

AIO: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Data Curation, Visualization, Investigation, Writing - Original Draft Writing-Reviewing and Editing; CZA: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Visualization, Investigation, Supervision, Writing - Reviewing and Editing; COA: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Data Curation, Writing - Original Draft, Supervision; AUN: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Visualization, Writing-Original Draft, Writing-Reviewing and Editing; FCI: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Data Curation, Writing - Original Draft, Supervision; AWW: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Data Curation, Investigation, Supervision; ICC: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Data Curation, Visualization, Supervision.

ORCID

Alexander Iheanyichukwu Opara: 0000-0002-0810-9970

Chigozie Osita Akakuru: 0000-0002-2491-6481

Amarachi Udoka Nkwoada: 0000-0002-2217-2503

Francis Chizoruo Ibe: 0000-0003-4500-6177

Andrew Wirnkor Verla: 0001-6837-2570

References

- [1] Onwukeme VI, Eze VC. Identification of heavy metals source within selected active dumpsites in southeastern Nigeria. *Environ Anal Heal Toxicol* 202;36(2):e2021008. <https://doi.org/10.5620/eaht.2021008>
- [2] Njoku PO, Edokpayi JN, Odiyo JO. Health and environmental risks of residents living close to a landfill: A case study of Thohoyandou landfill, Limpopo province, South Africa. *Int J Environ Res Public Heal* 2019;16(2):2125. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16122125>
- [3] Iravanian A, Ravari SO. Types of contamination in landfills and effects on the environment: A review study. In: IOP Conf Series: Earth and Environmental Science 2020;614(1):012083. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/614/1/012083>
- [4] Hunt A, Abraham JL, Judson B, Berry CL. Toxicologic and epidemiologic clues from the characterization of the 1952 London smog fine particulate matter in archival autopsy lung tissues. *Environ Health Perspect* 2003;111(9):1209–1214. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.6114>
- [5] Jacobs ET, Burgess JL, Abbott MB. The Donora smog revisited: 70 years after the event that inspired the clean air act. *Am J Public Health* 2018;108(S2):S85–S88. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2017.304219>
- [6] Adams K, Greenbaum DS, Shaikh R, van Erp AM, Russell AG. Particulate matter components, sources, and health: Systematic approaches to testing effects. *J Air Waste Manage Assoc* 2015;65(5):544–558. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10962247.2014.1001884>
- [7] Anenberg SC, Achakulwisut P, Brauer M, Moran D, Apte JS, Henze DK. Particulate matter-attributable mortality and relationships with carbon dioxide in 250 urban areas worldwide. *Nat Science Reports* 2019;9(1):1-6. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-48057-9>
- [8] Dockery DW, Pope CA, Xu X, Spengler JD, Ware JH, Fay ME, et al. An association between air pollution and mortality in six US cities. *New Engl J Med* 1993;329(24):1753–1759. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199312093292401>
- [9] Annesi-Maesano I, Agabiti N, Pistelli R, Couilliot MF, Forastiere F. Subpopulations at increased risk of adverse health outcomes from air pollution. *Eur Respir J* 2003;21(40 suppl):57s–63s. <https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.03.00402103>
- [10] Fischer PH, Marra M, Ameling CB, Velders GJ, Hoogerbrugge R, de Vries W, et al. Particulate air pollution from different sources and mortality in 7.5 million adults - The Dutch environmental longitudinal study (DUELS). *Sci Total Environ* 2020;705:135778. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135778>
- [11] Lu X, Lin C, Li Y, Yao T, Fung JC, Lau AK. Assessment of health burden caused by particulate matter in southern China using high-resolution satellite observation. *Environ Int* 2017;98:160-170. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2016.11.002>
- [12] Kim H, Kang K, Kim T. Measurement of particulate matter (PM2.5) and health risk assessment of cooking-generated particles in the kitchen and living rooms of apartment houses. *Sustainability* 2018;10(3):843. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10030843>
- [13] AC E, EE N. Cost-based model for selection of a municipal solid waste dumpsite in owerri, Nigeria. *Am Based Res J* 2018;7(12).
- [14] Ejiogu BC, Opara AI, Nwofor OK, Nwosu IE. Geochemical and bacteriological analyses of water resources prone to contamination from solid waste dumpsites in Imo State, Southeastern Nigeria. *J Environ Sci Technol* 2017;10(6):325-343. <https://doi.org/10.3923/jest.2017.325.343>
- [15] Ibe FC, Opara AI, Amaobi CE, Ibe BO. Environmental risk assessment of the intake of contaminants in aquifers in the vicinity of a reclaimed waste dumpsite in Owerri municipal, Southeastern Nigeria. *Applied Water Sci* 2021;11(2):1-19. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-020-01355-4>
- [16] Ojiegbe RU. Characteristics and suitability of waste dump sites in Owerri, Nigeria. *Int J Nat Appl Sci* 2005;1(1):78-80. <https://doi.org/10.4314/ijonas.v1i1.36034>

- [17] Ibrahim GD, Nwaichi EO, Abu GO. Heavy metals contents of municipal solid waste dumpsites in Potiskum, Yobe State Nigeria. *J Environ Prot* 2020;11(09):709. <https://doi.org/10.4236/jep.2020.119043>
- [18] Okorafor O, Egwuonwu C, Akinbile C, Adeyemo A. Assessment of rainfall erosivity factor (R) for selected locations in Imo State, Southeastern Nigeria. *Int J Eng Appl Sci Technol* 2019;4(6):319–332.
- [19] Matooane M, Diab R. Health risk assessment for sulfur dioxide pollution in South Durban, South Africa. *Arch Environ Health*. 2003 Dec;58(12):763–770.
- [20] United States Environmental Protection Agency. Human Health Risk Assessment. Human Health Risk Assessment. Assessed in 2021. <https://www.epa.gov/risk/human-health-risk-assessment#tab-1>
- [21] Edlund KK, Killman F, Molnár P, Boman J, Stockfelt L, Wichmann J. Health risk assessment of PM_{2.5} and PM_{2.5}-bound trace elements in Thohoyandou, South Africa. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2021;18(3):1359. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18031359>
- [22] Chalvatzaki E, Chatoutsidou SE, Lehtomäki H, Almeida SM, Eleftheriadis K, Hänninen O, et al. Characterization of human health risks from particulate air pollution in selected European cities. *Atmos* 2019;10(2):96. <https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos10020096>
- [23] Morakinyo OM, Adebawale AS, Mokgobu MI, Mukhola MS. Health risk of inhalation exposure to sub-10 µm particulate matter and gaseous pollutants in an urban-industrial area in South Africa: an ecological study. *BMJ Open* 2017;7(3):e013941. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013941>
- [24] WHO. WHO Air quality guidelines for particulate matter, ozone, nitrogen dioxide and sulfur dioxide. Global update: Summary of risk assessment. Assessed in 2006. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/69477/WHO_SDE_PHE_OEH_06.02_eng.pdf?sequence=1
- [25] Habil M, Massey DD, Taneja A. Mass and number and its chemical composition distribution of particulate matter in different microenvironments. *Indoor Environment and Health*. Assessed in 2019, 21. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.82801>
- [26] Opara AI, Ibe FC, Njoku PC, Alinnor JI, Enenebeaku CK. Geospatial and geostatistical analyses of particulate matter (PM₁₀) concentrations in Imo State, Nigeria. *Int Lett Nat Sci* 2016;57:89-107. <https://doi.org/10.18052/www.scipress.com/ILNS.57.89>
- [27] Vinnikov D, Tulekov Z, Raushanova A. Occupational exposure to particulate matter from air pollution in the outdoor workplaces in Almaty during the cold season. *PLoS ONE* 2020;15(1):e0227447. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0227447>
- [28] Odekanle EL, Sonibare OO, Odejebi OJ, Fakinle BS, Akeredolu FA. Air emissions and health risk assessment around abattoir facility. *Heliyon* 2020;6(7):e04365. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e04365>
- [29] Ibe FC, Opara AI, Duru CE, Obinna IB, Enedoh MC. Statistical analysis of atmospheric pollutant concentrations in parts of Imo State, Southeastern Nigeria. *Sci African*. Assessed in 2020;7::e00237. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2468227619307987>
- [30] Abulude FO., Damodharan U, Acha S, Adamu A, Arifalo KM. Preliminary assessment of air pollution quality levels of Lagos, Nigeria. *Res Sq* 2021;5:275–284. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41810-021-00099-1>
- [31] Liang L, Gong P, Cong N, Li Y, Zhao Y, Chen Y. Assessment of personal exposure to particulate air pollution: the first result of City Health Outlook (CHO) project. *BMC Public Health* 2019;19(1):1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-019-7022-8>
- [32] Wikuats CFH, Duarte EH, Prates KVMC, Janiaski LLL, de Oliveira Gabriel B, da Cunha Molina A, et al. Assessment of airborne particles and bioaerosols concentrations in a waste recycling environment in Brazil. *Sci Rep* 2020;10(1):1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-71787-0>
- [33] Odonkor ST, Mahami T. Microbial air quality in neighborhoods near landfill sites: Implications for public health. *J Environ Public Health* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/4609164>
- [34] Bungadaeng S, Prueksasit T, Siri Wong W. Inhalation exposure to respirable particulate matter among workers in relation to their e-waste open burning activities in Buriram Province, Thailand. *Sustain Environ Res* 2019;29(1):1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42834-019-0030-7>

- [35] Falayi EO. The impact of cloud cover, relative humidity, temperature and rainfall on solar radiation in Nigeria. *Energy and Power* 2013;3(6):119–127.
- [36] Akinsanola AA, Ogunjobi KO. Analysis of rainfall and temperature variability over Nigeria. *Glob J Hum Soc Sci B* 2014;14(3):1-19.
- [37] Oyewole J, Thompson A, Akinpelu J, Jegede O. Variation of rainfall and humidity in Nigeria. *Methodology* 2014;4:1-10.
- [38] Umoh AA, Akpan AO, Jacob BB. Rainfall and relative humidity occurrence patterns in uyo metropolis, Akwa Ibom State, South-South Nigeria. *IOSR J Eng* 2013;3(8):27–31.
- [39] Ojo JS. Geo-spatial distribution of cloud cover and influence of cloud-induced attenuation and noise temperature on satellite signal propagation over Nigeria. *Adv Sp Res* 2017;59(10):2611–22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2017.03.006>